Crawley Borough Council

Report to Overview & Scrutiny Commission 4 February 2019

Report to Cabinet 6 February 2019

Treasury Management Strategy 2019/2020

Report of the Head of Corporate Finance - FIN/464

1. Purpose

1.1 The Strategy for 2019/20 covers two main areas:

Capital Issues

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury Management Issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council:
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- · creditworthiness policy; and
- the policy on use of external service providers.
- 1.2 In respect of non-Housing Revenue Account activities, the Council's current policy is to remain debt free until building works commence on the new Town Hall. Once all final documentation has been completed and signed and tenders are received within approved limits, a revised treasury management strategy will be presented to Cabinet and Full Council as there will be a need to undertake borrowing. The policy of investing according to the principles of security, liquidity and yield remains.
- 1.3 There are no material changes to the Investment Strategy in section 7 and Appendix 3 compared with the 2018/2019 Strategy.

2. Recommendations

2.1 To the Overview and Scrutiny Commission:

That the Commission considers the report and decides what comments, if any, it wishes to submit to the Cabinet.

2.2 To the Cabinet

The Cabinet is requested to recommend to Full Council the approval of:-

- a) the Treasury Prudential Indicators and the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement contained within Section 5;
- b) the Treasury Management Strategy contained within Section 6;
- c) the Investment Strategy contained within Section 7, and the detailed criteria included in Appendix 3;

3. Reasons for the Recommendations

3.1 The Council's financial regulations, in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management, requires a Treasury Management Strategy to be approved for the forthcoming financial year. This report complies with these requirements.

4. Background

- 4.1 The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.
- 4.2 The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.
- 4.3 The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.
- 4.4 Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day to day treasury management activities.
- 4.5 CIPFA defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the

- effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."
- 4.7 Revised reporting is required for the 2019/20 reporting cycle due to revisions of the Ministry of Housing and Local Government (MHCLG) Investment Guidance, the MHCLG Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code. The primary reporting changes include the introduction of a Capital Strategy (elsewhere on this agenda), to provide a longer-term focus to the capital plans, and greater reporting requirements surrounding any commercial activity undertaken under the Localism Act 2011.
- 4.8 This report takes into account the revenue and capital implications arising in the 2019/20 Budget and Council Tax report (FIN/462).

5. The Capital Prudential Indicators 2019/20 – 2021/22

5.1 The Capital Expenditure Plans

- 5.1.1 The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.
- 5.1.2 **Capital expenditure.** This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital Expenditure £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Cabinet	1,979	2,545	3,060	71	0
Resources	217	183	902	400	67
Environment Services &					
Sustainability	898	463	2,359	2,961	0
Planning & Economic					
Development	257	1,512	7,757	3,387	0
Public Protection &					
Community Engagement	95	0	0	0	0
Housing Services	735	1,862	7,503	2,181	4,129
Wellbeing	1,898	1,511	2,344	517	0
General Fund	6,079	8,076	23,925	9,517	4,196
HRA	23,375	39,857	49,456	18,660	19,100
Non-financial					
investments *	0	0	6,000	5,000	0
Total	29,454	47,933	79,381	33,177	23,296

^{*} Non-financial investments relate to areas such as capital expenditure on investment properties, loans to third parties, etc.

5.1.3 The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need. The £9m financing need shown in the table below is for additional capital expenditure on Telford Place as outlined in the Budget report elsewhere on this agenda.

Financing of Capital Expenditure £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Capital receipts	3,878	5,018	20,416	8,279	990
Capital reserves	96	0	25	0	0
1-4-1 receipts	3,117	8,256	14,923	3,882	1,569
Replacement funds	133	143	702	0	0
Capital grants	1,972	2,916	4,192	4,158	0
Major Repairs Reserve	20,258	31,600	39,123	16,858	11,737
Net financing need for					
the year	0	0	0	0	9,000

- 5.2 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement).
- 5.2.1 The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's indebtedness and so it's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for through a revenue or capital resource, will increase the CFR.
- 5.2.2 The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

£'000	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Capital Financing Require	rement				
CFR – General Fund	0	0	0	0	0
CFR - HRA	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	269,325
CFR – Non-financial					
investments	0	0	0	0	0
Total CFR	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	269,325
Movement in CFR	0	0	0	0	9,000

Movement in CFR represented by					
Net financing need for					
the year (above)	0	0	0	0	9,000
Less MRP/VRP and					
other financing					
movements	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in CFR	0	0	0	0	9,000

- 5.2.3 The large CFR on the HRA is due to the self-financing settlement in 2011/12. It is anticipated that the estimated £9m in 2021/22 for Telford Place will initially be financed through internal borrowing (i.e. under-borrowing).
- 5.2.4 A key aspect of the regulatory and professional guidance is that elected members are aware of the size and scope of any commercial activity in relation to the Council's overall financial position. The capital expenditure figures shown in 5.1.2 and the details above demonstrate the scope of this activity and, by approving these figures, consider the scale proportionate to the Council's remaining activity.

5.3 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

- 5.3.1 The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision VRP).
- 5.3.2 Government regulations have been issued which require the Full Council to approve **an MRP Statement** in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement:
- 5.3.3 For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:
 - Existing practice MRP will follow the existing practice outlined in former CLG regulations (option 1)

This option provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

- 5.3.4 From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be:
 - Asset life method MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction) (option 3)

This option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.

5.3.5 There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made. For the General Fund, the MRP policy will be applied if future borrowing takes place.

5.4 Core funds and expected investment balances

5.4.1 The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances. This is taken from the Budget report and Capital Strategy elsewhere on this agenda.

Year End Resources £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Fund balances /					
reserves	60,828	47,861	29,204	29,680	40,197
Capital receipts*	41,107	37,377	7,008	0	1,495
Total core funds	101,935	85,238	36,212	29,680	41,692
Working capital	16,671	15,724	15,000	15,000	15,000
Under/over borrowing**	0	0	0	0	(9,000)
Expected investments	118,606	100,962	51,212	44,680	47,692

^{*} includes 1-4-1 receipts

^{**} Working capital balances shown are estimated year-end; these may be higher mid-year

5.5 Affordability prudential indicators

- 5.5.1 The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:
- 5.5.2 Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream. This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

%	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
General Fund	-6.37%	-8.00%	-8.26%
HRA	16.85%	16.29%	16.15%

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

5.5.5 HRA ratios

	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
HRA debt					
£'000	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	269,325
HRA revenues					
£'000	46,858	47,025	48,480	50,512	51,949
Ratio of debt					
to revenues %	556%	554%	537%	515%	518%

	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
HRA debt					
£'000	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	269,325
Number of					
HRA dwellings	7,825	7,863	8,023	8,100	8,080
Debt per					
dwelling £	33,268	33,108	32,447	32,139	33,332

6. Borrowing

6.1 The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 5 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

6.2 Current portfolio position

6.2.1 The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2018, with forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt (the treasury management operations), against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

£'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
External Debt					
Debt at 1 April	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325
Expected change in					
Debt	0	0	0	0	0
Other long-term					
liabilities (OLTL)	0	0	0	0	0
Expected change in					
OLTL	0	0	0	0	0
Actual gross debt at					
31 March	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325
The Capital Financing					
Requirement	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325	269,325
(Under) / over borrowing	0	0	0	0	(9,000)

- 6.2.2 Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2019/20 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.
- 6.2.3 The Head of Corporate Finance reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.
- 6.3 Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity
- 6.3.1 **The Operational Boundary.** This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary £'000	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	260,325	260,325	260,325	260,325

6.3.2 **The Authorised Limit for external debt.** A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while

- not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.
- 6.3.3 This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all Councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
- 6.3.4 The Council is asked to approve the following Authorised Limit:

Authorised limit £'000	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	270,325	270,325	270,325	270,325
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	270,325	270,325	270,325	270,325

6.3.5 Separately, the Council was previously limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. The government removed this limit with effect from 29 October 2018.

6.3.6 Maturity structure of borrowing

These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits. The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

Maturity Structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2019/20					
	Lower	Upper			
Under 12 months	0%	10%			
12 months to 2 years	0%	10%			
2 years to 5 years	0%	20%			
5 years to 10 years	0%	40%			
10 years to 20 years	0%	65%			
20 years to 30 years	0%	15%			
30 years to 40 years	0%	10%			
40 years to 50 years	0%	10%			

6.4 Prospects for Interest Rates

6.4.1 The Council has appointed Link Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives Link's central view.

	Mar-19	Jun-19	Sep-19	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22
Bank Rate View	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.90%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%
6 Month LIBID	1.00%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%
12 Month LIBID	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%
5yr PWLB Rate	2.10%	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.80%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%
25yr PWLB Rate	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%	3.60%
50yr PWLB Rate	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%

6.4.2 Investment returns are likely to remain low during 2019/20 but to be on a gently rising trend over the next few years.

- 6.4.3 Borrowing interest rates have been volatile so far in 2018/19 and while they were on a rising trend during the first half of the year, they have backtracked since then until early January.
- 6.4.4 There will remain a cost of carry (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns) to any new long-term borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

6.5 Borrowing Strategy

6.5.1 The Council borrowed £260.325m in 2011/12 for the HRA self-financing settlement. The General Fund remains debt free, and this position is not expected to change during 2019/20.

6.6 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

- 6.6.1 The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.
- 6.6.2 Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

6.7 Debt rescheduling

- 6.7.1 As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).
- 6.7.2 The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:
 - the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
 - helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
 - enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).
- 6.7.3 Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.
- 6.7.4 All rescheduling will be reported to the Cabinet, at the earliest meeting following its action.

7. Annual Investment Strategy

7.1 Investment Policy

7.1.1 The government and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely

- with financial investments (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets, are covered in the Capital Strategy (a separate report).
- 7.1.2 The Council's investment policy has regard to the Government's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance"); the revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code"); and the CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018. The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield (return).
- 7.1.3 The above guidance from the government and CIPFA place a high priority on the management of risk. This Council has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means:
- 7.1.4 Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short-term and long-term ratings.
- 7.1.5 Other information: ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "credit default swaps" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.
- 7.1.6 Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
- 7.1.7 This authority has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in appendix 3 under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments.
 - **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year.
 - Non-specified investments are those with less high credit quality, may
 be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex
 instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers
 before being authorised for use.
- 7.1.8 **Non Specified investment limit**. The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments as being 70% of the total investment portfolio (see paragraph 7.4).
- 7.1.9 **Lending limits** (amounts and maturity) for each counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table in paragraph 7.2.
- 7.1.10 **Transaction limits** are set for each type of investment in 7.2.
- 7.1.11 The Council will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for **longer than 365 days** (see paragraph 7.5.5).

- 7.1.12 Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum **sovereign rating** (see paragraph 7.4.3).
- 7.1.13 The Council has engaged **external consultants**, (see paragraph 7.6) to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of the Council in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.
- 7.1.14 All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.
- 7.1.15 However, the Council will also pursue value for money in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance (see paragraph 7.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

7.2 Creditworthiness policy

- 7.2.1 This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Link Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:
 - credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
 - CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
 - sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.
- 7.2.2 This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

Yellow 5 years *

 Dark pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25

 Light pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5

• Purple 2 years

Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)

Orange 1 year
Red 6 months
Green 100 days
No colour not to be used

	Υ	Pi1	Pi2	Р	В	0	R	G	N/C
	1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7
,	Up to 5vrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 5vrs	Up to 2vrs	Up to 1yr	Up to 1yr	Up to 6mths	Up to 100days	No Colour

	Colour (and long term rating where applicable)	Money Limit	Time Limit	
Banks *	yellow	unlimited	5 yrs	
Banks	purple	£15m	2 yrs	
Banks – part nationalised	blue	£15m	1 yr	
Banks	orange	£10m	1 yr	
Banks	red	£10m	6 mths	
Banks	green	£10m	100 days	
Banks	No colour	Not to	oe used	
Limit 3 category – Council's banker (not meeting Banks 1)	n/a	£1m	1 day	
Corporate Bonds	AA- A-	£5m £2m	2 yrs 1 yr	
Repurchase agreements	AA	£5m	5 yrs	
DMADF	AAA	unlimited	6 months	
Local authorities	n/a	£15m	5 yrs	
Housing Associations	AA-	£2m	1 yr	
Money market funds	AAA	£15m	liquid	
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25	Dark pink / AAA	£10m	liquid	
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	Light pink / AAA	£10m	liquid	

^{*} Please note: the yellow colour category is for UK Government debt, or its equivalent, constant NAV money market funds and collateralised deposits where the collateral is UK Government debt.

- 7.2.3 Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.
- 7.2.4 Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a short term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of short term rating F1, long term rating A. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.
- 7.2.5 All credit ratings will be monitored daily. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of
 information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the
 iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis. Extreme
 market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or
 removal from the Council's lending list.
- 7.2.6 Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

7.3 Ethical Investment Policy

- 7.3.1 The Council will not undertake direct investment or borrowing activities with organisations whose core activities include:
 - Armaments weapon systems
 - Gambling
 - Pornography
 - Tobacco
 - Pay-day loans
- 7.3.2 In order to comply with treasury management guidance, the Council's investments will prioritise security, liquidity and yield in that order. The Ethical Investment Policy thereby becomes a fourth consideration in the decision making process.
- 7.3.3 The core activities in the Ethical Investment Policy above has been chosen after careful consideration of the Policy direction of the administration, the officer time in implementing the policy, the cost of external resources, and the timeliness of investment decisions.

7.4 Other limits

- 7.4.1 Due care will be taken to consider the exposure of the Council's total investment portfolio to non-specified investments, countries, groups and sectors.
- 7.4.2 **Non-specified investment limit**. The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum exposure to non-specified investments as being 70% of the total investment portfolio.
- 7.4.3 **Country limit**. The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA-from Fitch (or equivalent). The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 4. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

7.4.4 **Other limits**. In addition:

- No more than 20% will be placed with any non-UK country at any time;
- Limits in place above will apply to a group of companies;
- Sector limits will be monitored regularly for appropriateness.

7.5 Investment strategy

- 7.5.1 In-house funds. Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While most cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of cash flow, where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed.
 - If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.
 - Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.
- 7.5.2 **Investment returns expectations.** On the assumption that the UK and EU agree a Brexit deal in spring 2019, then Bank Rate is forecast to increase steadily but slowly over the next few years to reach 2.00% for quarter 1 2022. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:
 - 2018/19 0.75%
 - 2019/20 1.25%
 - 2020/21 1.50%
 - 2021/22 2.00%
- 7.5.3 The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to 6 months during each financial year are as follows:

2018/19	0.85%
2019/20	1.25%
2020/21	1.75%
2021/22	2.00%
2022/23	2.00%
2023/24	2.25%
Later years	2.75%

- 7.5.4 The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral.
- 7.5.5 The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably even and dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively.
- 7.5.5 **Investment treasury indicator and limit** total principal funds invested for greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

Maximum principal sums invested > 365 days							
£m	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22				
Principal sums invested >							
365 days	£50m	£50m	£50m				

- 7.5.6 **Investment Risk Benchmarking**. These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmark is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the Mid-Year or Annual Report.
- 7.5.7 Security The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:
 - 0.15% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.
- 7.5.8 Liquidity in respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:
 - Bank overdraft £nil
 - Liquid short term deposits of at least £2m available with a week's notice.
 - Weighted Average Life benchmark is expected to be 0.7 years, with a maximum of 1.20 years.
- 7.5.9 Yield local measures of yield benchmarks are:
 - Investments internal returns 0.2% above the 7 day LIBID rate
- 7.5.10 And in addition that the security benchmark for each individual year is:

	1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 years
Maximum	0.03%	0.22%	0.40%	0.56%	0.74%

Note: This benchmark is an average risk of default measure, and would not constitute an expectation of loss against a particular investment.

7.5.11 A the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

7.6 Treasury management consultants

- 7.6.1 The Council uses Link Asset Services, Treasury solutions as its external treasury management advisors.
- 7.6.2 The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regards to all available information, including, but not solely, our treasury advisors.
- 7.6.3 It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

8. Implications

8.1 There are no significant legal implications as a result of the recommendations in this report. Compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the public services, the Local Government Investment

Guidance provides that the council's investments are and will continue to be, within its legal powers conferred under the Local Government Act 2003.

9. Background Papers

<u>Treasury Management Strategy for 2018/2019 – Cabinet, 7 February 2018</u> [report FIN/433 refers].

<u>Treasury Management Mid-Year Review 2018/2019 – Cabinet, 21 November 2018 [report FIN/457 refers].</u>

2019/2020 Budget and Council Tax – Cabinet, 6 February 2019 [report FIN/462 refers].

Capital Strategy [report FIN/467 refers].

"Treasury Management in the Public Services – Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes", 2017 Edition – Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy.

"The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities", 2017 Edition – Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy.

Report author and contact officer: Paul Windust Chief Accountant 01293 438693

ENDS

Appendix 1: Interest Rate Forecasts 2019 – 2022

PWLB rates and forecast shown below have taken into account the 20 basis point certainty rate reduction effective as of the 1st November 2012.

	Mar-19	Jun-19	Sep-19	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22
Bank Rate View	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.90%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%
6 Month LIBID	1.00%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%
12 Month LIBID	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%
5yr PWLB Rate	2.10%	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.80%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%
25yr PWLB Rate	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%	3.60%
50yr PWLB Rate	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%
Bank Rate													
Link Asset Services	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
Capital Economics	0.75%	1.00%	1.25%	1.50%	1.70%	1.75%	2.00%	2.00%	-	-	-	_	-
5yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.10%	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.80%
Capital Economics	2.03%	2.15%	2.40%	2.65%	2.70%	2.75%	2.80%	2.85%	-	-	-	-	-
10yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%
Capital Economics	2.43%	2.55%	2.80%	3.05%	3.05%	3.05%	3.05%	3.05%	-	-	-	-	-
25yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%	3.60%
Capital Economics	2.96%	3.08%	3.33%	3.58%	3.53%	3.48%	3.43%	3.38%	-	-	-	-	-
50yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%
Capital Economics	2.78%	2.90%	3.15%	3.40%	3.40%	3.40%	3.40%	3.40%	_	-	_	-	_

GLOBAL OUTLOOK. World growth has been doing reasonably well, aided by strong growth in the US. However, US growth is likely to fall back in 2019 and, together with weakening economic activity in China and the eurozone, overall world growth is likely to weaken.

Inflation has been weak during 2018 but, at long last, unemployment falling to remarkably low levels in the US and UK has led to a marked acceleration of wage inflation. The US Fed has therefore increased rates nine times and the Bank of England twice. However, the ECB is unlikely to start raising rates until late in 2019 at the earliest.

KEY RISKS - central bank monetary policy measures

Looking back on nearly ten years since the financial crash of 2008 when liquidity suddenly dried up in financial markets, it can be assessed that central banks' monetary policy measures to counter the sharp world recession were successful. The key monetary policy measures they used were a combination of lowering central interest rates and flooding financial markets with liquidity, particularly through unconventional means such as quantitative easing (QE), where central banks bought large amounts of central government debt and smaller sums of other debt.

The key issue now is that that period of stimulating economic recovery and warding off the threat of deflation, is coming towards its close. A new period is well advanced in the US, and started more recently in the UK, of reversing those measures i.e. by raising central rates and, (for the US), reducing central banks' holdings of government and other debt. These measures are now required in order to stop the trend of a reduction in spare capacity in the economy and of unemployment falling to such low levels, that the re-emergence of inflation is viewed as a major risk. It is, therefore, crucial that central banks get their timing right and do not cause shocks to market expectations that could destabilise financial markets. In particular, a key risk is that because QE-driven purchases of bonds drove up the price of government debt, and therefore caused a sharp drop in income yields, this also encouraged investors into a search for yield and into investing in riskier assets such as equities. Consequently, prices in both bond and equity markets rose to historically high valuation levels simultaneously. This meant that both asset categories were exposed to the risk of a sharp downward correction and we have indeed, seen a sharp fall in equity values in the last quarter of 2018. It is important, therefore, that central banks only gradually unwind their holdings of bonds in order to prevent destabilising the financial markets. It is also likely that the timeframe for central banks unwinding their holdings of QE debt purchases will be over several years. They need to balance their timing to neither squash economic recovery, by taking too rapid and too strong action, or, conversely, let inflation run away by taking action that was too slow and/or too weak. The potential for central banks to get this timing and strength of action wrong are now key risks. At the time of writing, (early January 2019), financial markets are very concerned that the Fed is being too aggressive with its policy for raising interest rates and was likely to cause a recession in the US economy.

The world economy also needs to adjust to a sharp change in **liquidity creation** over the last five years where the US has moved from boosting liquidity by QE purchases, to reducing its holdings of debt, (currently about \$50bn per month). In addition, the European Central Bank ended its QE purchases in December 2018.

UK. The flow of positive economic statistics since the end of the first quarter of 2018 has shown that pessimism was overdone about the poor growth in quarter 1 when adverse weather caused a temporary downward blip. Quarter 1 at 0.1% growth in GDP was followed by a return to 0.4% in quarter 2 and by a strong performance in quarter 3 of +0.6%. However, growth in quarter 4 is expected to weaken significantly.

At their November quarterly Inflation Report meeting, the MPC repeated their well-worn phrase that future Bank Rate increases would be gradual and would rise to a much lower equilibrium rate, (where monetary policy is neither expansionary of contractionary), than before the crash; indeed they gave a figure for this of around 2.5% in ten years' time, but declined to give a medium term forecast. However, with so much uncertainty around Brexit, they warned that the next move could be up or down, even if there was a disorderly Brexit. While it would be expected that Bank Rate could be cut if there was a significant fall in GDP growth as a result of a disorderly Brexit, so as to provide a stimulus to growth, they warned they could also <u>raise</u> Bank Rate in the same scenario if there was a boost to inflation from a devaluation of sterling, increases in import prices and more expensive goods produced in the UK replacing cheaper goods previously imported, and so on. In addition, the Chancellor could potentially provide fiscal stimulus to support economic growth, though at the cost of increasing the budget deficit above currently projected levels.

It is unlikely that the MPC would increase Bank Rate in February 2019, ahead of the deadline in March for Brexit. Getting parliamentary approval for a Brexit agreement on both sides of the Channel will take well into spring 2019. However, in view of the hawkish stance of the MPC at their November meeting, the next increase in Bank Rate is now forecast to be in May 2019, (on the assumption that a Brexit deal is agreed by both the UK and the EU). The following increases are then forecast to be in February and November 2020 before ending up at 2.0% in February 2022.

Inflation. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) measure of inflation has been falling from a peak of 3.1% in November 2017 to 2.3% in November. In the November Bank of England quarterly Inflation Report, inflation was forecast to still be marginally above its 2% inflation target two years ahead, (at about 2.1%), given a scenario of minimal increases in Bank Rate. This inflation forecast is likely to be amended upwards due to the Bank's report being produced prior to the Chancellor's announcement of a significant fiscal stimulus in the Budget; this is likely to add 0.3% to GDP growth at a time when there is little spare capacity left in the economy, particularly of labour.

As for the **labour market** figures in October, unemployment at 4.1% was marginally above a 43 year low of 4% on the Independent Labour Organisation measure. A combination of job vacancies hitting an all-time high, together with negligible growth in total employment numbers, indicates that employers are now having major difficulties filling job vacancies with suitable staff. It was therefore unsurprising that wage inflation picked up to 3.3%, (3 month average regular pay, excluding bonuses). This meant that in real terms, (i.e. wage rates less CPI inflation), earnings are currently growing by about 1.0%, the highest level since 2009. This increase in household spending power is likely to feed through into providing some support to the overall rate of economic growth in the coming months. This tends to confirm that the MPC was right to start on a cautious increase in Bank Rate in August as it views wage inflation in excess of 3% as increasing inflationary pressures within the UK economy.

In the **political arena**, there is a risk that the current Conservative minority government may be unable to muster a majority in the Commons over Brexit. However, our central position is that Prime Minister May's government will endure, despite various setbacks, along the route to reaching an orderly Brexit in March 2019. If, however, the UK faces a general election in the next 12 months, this could result in a potential loosening of monetary and fiscal policy and therefore medium to longer dated gilt yields could rise on the expectation of a weak pound and concerns around inflation picking up.

USA. President Trump's massive easing of fiscal policy is fuelling a, (temporary), boost in consumption which has generated an upturn in the rate of strong growth which rose from 2.2%, (annualised rate), in quarter 1 to 4.2% in quarter 2 and 3.5%, (3.0% y/y), in quarter 3, but also an upturn in inflationary pressures. The strong growth in employment numbers and the reduction in the unemployment rate to 3.9%, near to a recent 49 year low, has fed through to an upturn in wage inflation which hit 3.2% in November. However, CPI inflation

overall fell to 2.2% in November and looks to be on a falling trend to drop below the Fed's target of 2% during 2019. The Fed has continued on its series of increases in interest rates with another 0.25% increase in December to between 2.25% and 2.50%, this being the fifth increase in 2018 and the ninth in this cycle. However, they did also reduce their forecast for further increases from three to two. This latest increase compounded investor fears that the Fed is over doing the rate and level of increases in rates and that it is going to cause a US recession as a result. There is also much evidence in previous monetary policy cycles, of the Fed's series of increases doing exactly that. Consequently, we have seen stock markets around the world plunging under the weight of fears around the Fed's actions, the trade war between the US and China, an expectation that world growth will slow, Brexit etc.

The tariff war between the US and China has been generating a lot of heat during 2018, but it is not expected that the current level of actual action would have much in the way of a significant effect on US or world growth. However, there is a risk of escalation if an agreement is not reached soon between the US and China.

Eurozone. Growth was 0.4% in quarters 1 and 2 but fell back to 0.2% in quarter 3, though this was probably just a temporary dip. In particular, data from Germany has been mixed and it could be negatively impacted by US tariffs on a significant part of manufacturing exports e.g. cars. For that reason, although growth is still expected to be in the region of nearly 2% for 2018, the horizon is less clear than it seemed just a short while ago. Having halved its quantitative easing purchases of debt in October 2018 to €15bn per month, the European Central Bank ended all further purchases in December 2018. The ECB is forecasting inflation to be a little below its 2% top limit through the next three years so it may find it difficult to warrant a start on raising rates by the end of 2019 if the growth rate of the EU economy is on a weakening trend.

China. Economic growth has been weakening over successive years, despite repeated rounds of central bank stimulus; medium term risks are increasing. Major progress still needs to be made to eliminate excess industrial capacity and the stock of unsold property, and to address the level of non-performing loans in the banking and credit systems. Progress has been made in reducing the rate of credit creation, particularly from the shadow banking sector, which is feeding through into lower economic growth. There are concerns that official economic statistics are inflating the published rate of growth.

Japan - has been struggling to stimulate consistent significant GDP growth and to get inflation up to its target of 2%, despite huge monetary and fiscal stimulus. It is also making little progress on fundamental reform of the economy. It is likely that loose monetary policy will endure for some years yet to try to stimulate growth and modest inflation.

Emerging countries. Argentina and Turkey are currently experiencing major headwinds and are facing challenges in external financing requirements well in excess of their reserves of foreign exchange. However, these countries are small in terms of the overall world economy, (around 1% each), so the fallout from the expected recessions in these countries will be minimal.

APPENDIX 3: Treasury Management Practice (TMP1) – Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS: All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 1 year**, meeting the minimum 'high' quality criteria where applicable.

NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS: These are any investments which do not meet the specified investment criteria. A maximum of 70% will be held in aggregate in non-specified investment

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories.

The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

Specified investments	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	£ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	unlimited	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	unlimited	1 year
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating	unlimited	1 year
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	AA	unlimited	1 year
Money market funds	AAA	£15m	Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25	AAA	£10m	Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	£10m	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	£15m	1 year
CDs or term deposits with banks and building societies	Yellow Purple Blue Orange	£15m £15m £15m £10m	1 year

Non-specified investments	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	£ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	unlimited	5 years
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	UK sovereign rating	unlimited	5 years
Local authorities	N/A	£15m	5 years
Housing Associations	AA-	£2m	1 year
CDs or Term deposits with banks and building societies	Yellow Purple Blue Orange Red Green No Colour	unlimited £15m £15m £10m £10m £10m	Up to 5 years Up to 2 years Up to 1 year Up to 1 year Up to 6 Months Up to 100 days Not for use
Corporate bonds	AA- A-	£5m £2m	1 year
Repurchase agreements	AA	£5m	5 years

APPENDIX 4: Approved countries for investments

This list is based on those countries which have sovereign ratings of AA- or higher and also have banks operating in sterling markets which have credit ratings of green or above in the Link Asset Services credit worthiness service.

AAA

- Australia
- Canada
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Finland
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France
- Hong Kong
- U.K.

AA-

- Belgium
- Qatar

APPENDIX 5: Treasury Management Scheme of Delegation

(i) Full Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.

(ii) Cabinet

- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices;
- budget consideration and approval;
- · approval of the division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;
- approving the selection of external service providers and agreeing terms of appointment.

(iii) Overview and Scrutiny Commission

 reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

APPENDIX 6: The Treasury Management Role of the Section 151 Officer

The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- preparation of a capital strategy to include capital expenditure, capital financing, nonfinancial investments and treasury management, with a long term timeframe.
- ensuring that the capital strategy is prudent, sustainable, affordable and prudent in the long term and provides value for money
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all treasury and non-financial investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the authority
- ensure that the authority has appropriate legal powers to undertake expenditure on non-financial assets and their financing
- ensuring the proportionality of all investments so that the authority does not undertake a level of investing which exposes the authority to an excessive level of risk compared to its financial resources
- ensuring that an adequate governance process is in place for the approval, monitoring and ongoing risk management of all non-financial investments and long term liabilities
- provision to members of a schedule of all non-treasury investments including material investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures, loans and financial guarantees.
- ensuring that members are adequately informed and understand the risk exposures taken on by an authority
- ensuring that the authority has adequate expertise, either in house or externally provided, to carry out the above
- creation of Treasury Management Practices which specifically deal with how non treasury investments will be carried out and managed, to include the following:-
 - Risk management (TMP1 and schedules), including investment and risk management criteria for any material non-treasury investment portfolios;
 - Performance measurement and management (TMP2 and schedules), including methodology and criteria for assessing the performance and success of non-treasury investments;

- Decision making, governance and organisation (TMP5 and schedules), including a statement of the governance requirements for decision making in relation to non-treasury investments; and arrangements to ensure that appropriate professional due diligence is carried out to support decision making;
- Reporting and management information (TMP6 and schedules), including where and how often monitoring reports are taken;
- Training and qualifications (TMP10 and schedules), including how the relevant knowledge and skills in relation to non-treasury investments will be arranged.